

THE VINTAGE SAILPLANE ASSOCIATION

VSA is a very dedicated group of soaring enthusiasts who are keeping our gliding history and heritage alive by building, restoring and flying military and civilian gliders from the past, some more than fifty years old. Several vintage glider meets are held each year. Members include modellers, pilot veterans, aviation historians and other aviation enthusiasts from all continents of the world. VSA publishes the quarterly magazine BUNGEE CORD. Sample issue \$ 1 .- . Membership \$ 10.- per year.

For more information write:

Vintage Sailplane Association Route 1, Box 239 Lovettsville, VA 22080






Payson, AZ 85547 Postage Paid Permit #17

RC Soaring Digest P.O. Box 1079 Payson, AZ 85547

FORWARDING POSTAGE GUARANTEED ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED

Vol. 6 No. 10 October, 1989 SPECIAL "HOW TO..." ISSUE The SUPER ZEBCO ...designed by Jim Harger Featuring RUBBER HINGES ...designed by Harley Michaelis The MITER TRAY ...designed by Jerry Slates Keep it up! Keep it up! Only 3 minutes to go... ...by Rob Smith Madras. OR Special Hints & Tips on Sailplane Flying Design & Techniques






MAGNUM HI-START EL

"We don't put you on... We put you up!

100 % Guaranteed the Best Hi-Start you've ever owned!

Tailor-made Hi-Starts for any size glider, open class, F3B. Cross Country or Scale. Until now you couldn't buy a Hi-Start that would successfully launch that LARGER size sailplane on those light or windless days.

- Our Magnum Hi-Starts are Custom designed to fit your needs using the highest quality latex.
- We use mandreled latex tubing (not extruded tubing).
- Designed to give superior resistance to abrasion, scuffing and tear.
- Also extremely low modulus decay which simply means . . . it won't lose its snap like extruded tubing does.
- Each kit comes complete with; rubber, nylon line, rings, swivels, parachute and custom wind-up reel. (Not just a spool!)
- Support items also available; standard chutes, contest chutes, custom wind-up reels, rubber, nylon line, rings, swivels.
- Let us custom build you a Hi-Start that will launch your machine!



MAGNUM HI-START with wind-up reel which comes with each kit or sold separately!

Please send me the MAGNUM HI-START I have selected:

O. MINGHOM 100	74.95 MA	lb. GLIDER uggest the GNUM 200	\$84.95	8 - 13 lb. GLIDER We Suggest the MAGNUM 300
"S	Special Orders	Upon Request	"	
Name		Phone	No. ()
Address		2000		
City		State		_ Zip
I Have enclosed a Money Order All orders shipped UPS. Personal chec	ks, allow 7 days to clear	r. Money orders or certific	ed cashiers checks	shipped next day.

(602) 951-2975

High Start



Between the covers of this issue you'll read about planks as addressed by B² in their popular column "On The Wing", a new column called Jer's Workbench covering the actual construction of the MITER TRAY and a multitude of articles and letters, too numerous to mention, covering several critical areas of sailplane design and flying techniques.

RCSD thanks the authors for their time and endless energy in finalizing these articles and letters.

And, as always, enjoy!

Happy Soaring, Jim Gray



Even the Score...

by HANDICAPPING!!!

More About SMTS
...by Jim Gray

One of the on-going, but so far unsolved problems facing those who would like to categorize pilots into Novice, Intermediate, Expert...or some similar class in order to be "fair" and give beginners a chance against the experts...

I submit that categorizing PILOTS is unnecessary. What we do, instead, is to categorize SCORES by handicapping!

Handicapping is done in many sports, allowing novices and beginners to compete with experts on an almost equal footing. It is done in golf — and it is done in full-scale soaring!

How can a beginner with a sailplane that is — say — a GENTLE LADY, even hope to compete against an accomplished F3B pilot flying — say — a MUELLER COMET? Simple: we handicap them.

Suppose, for example, that most pilots (even beginners) are competent to fly GENTLE LADY gliders in thermal duration events...but also suppose they have seldom or never flown a speed or duration task. There would be little need (although it could be done if desired) to handicap these fliers in T.D., but it would certainly be useful to handicap the experts in distance or speed.

What I purpose is this: pilots flying intermediate class sailplanes will have a 1.0 handicap; pilots flying GENTLE LADY type machines will have a 1.25 handicap; and pilots flying modern ships will have a .75 handicap (these numbers are just possible examples, and can be varied to suit). Now, when scores are totaled for all events flown, the beginners have their scores multiplied by 1.25; the intermediates take actual scores achieved; the advanced fellows have their scores reduced to .75 times their actual score.

Maybe the "handicaps" are placed on model TYPES using L/D or some other measure of performance as a guide; or, maybe the pilot is handicapped. Net result is that everyone flies and has his final score "adjusted" by the handicap rule.

Think about it. Let's hear from you about the idea, and let's try it out on a sample contest...and, finally, let's hear about suggested handicap values and suggested performance parameters to which the handicap rule can be applied.

One of the on-going so far unresolved situations facing the SMTS movement is how to grade the participating pilots. Should they be placed in classes such as Novice, Intermediate and Expert — or, what?



Occasionally, over the years, I have built tools that make life a little easier in the workshop. One of my favorites is the MITER TRAY.

The Coffee Cup VS the MITER TRAY

Now, I've tried a lot of different techniques in order to obtain the correct angle of dihedral on a set of foam cores. One that comes to mind is to hang the core over the edge of the workbench with a coffee cup under the tip. After block sanding in this position, I inevitably wind up taking 1/8" to 1/4" off the end before I get it right. I knew there had to be a better way. Eventually, I built what I have come to call the MITER TRAY, which allows me to block sand the correct angle of dihedral to the root end of a set of foam cores on the first try, while keeping it square to the world.

from...

How to Build a MITER TRAY

Jer's Workbench


THE MITER TRAY allows me to block sand the correct angle of dihedral to the root end of a set of foam cores on the first try...

Construction


The MITER TRAY is of fairly simple construction and can be made from bits and pieces found around the shop. The one in the photo was constructed from the following materials: 1/2 inch plywood 48" X 24" (cut down the middle)

> 1 piano hinge 4 right angle braces 11" X 48" angle iron Misc. nails & screws

The trick in the construction is to draw a center line with the hinge line for the upper tray parallel to the center line. Then, position the angle iron back stops for the upper tray at a 90° right angle to the center line. The angle braces, which are used to rest your sanding block against, must also be parallel to the center line.



Use the Robart Model Incidence Meter to set the tray at the correct angle




Ready...Set...Go

Once completed, now comes the final test. With a block of wood placed between the base and the hinged upper tray, slide it back and forth until the tray is at the angle you desire. I determine the accuracy in my angle with a Robart Model Incidence Meter (Sears carries an "Angle Finder").

A Versatile Tool

The MITER TRAY is a versatile tool. In addition to foam wings, it can be used on built-up wings, as well. I have found it to be particularly effective when installing wing rods and in joining the two halves of a poly-bent wing.



Sanding the correct angle with the sanding block is much easier to do with a MITER TRAY

Jerry Slates 2026 Spring Lake Drive Martinez, California 94553 (415) 689-0766



On The Wing

...by B^2

For the past two decades the most popular tailless RC sailplanes have been planks, and there are many full size plans available. A common plank design consists of a constant chord wing with no sweep, a centrally mounted elevator, and a large rudder. Planks of this type have a very simple structure that lends itself to rapid building. Stability in pitch is achieved by reflexing the last 20 to 25% of the airfoil and having a forward CG.

The reflexed sections used by planks are essentially one speed airfoils. When flying too slow the forward CG pitches the model down and speed increases; when flown too fast the reflex pitches the model up and speed decreases. Planks are thus very stable and make great trainers — both of us learned to fly proportional with a plank, Dave Jones' "Raven MB." Full size plans are available from Dave at Western Plan Service, 5621 Michelle Drive, Torrance, CA 90503.

Plank type 'wings fly about 50% faster than conventional airplanes of the same wing loading, but with their inherently draggy reflexed airfoils their glide ratio is not good, and dead air duration is about one half that of a conventional sailplane. Yet a good plank, in capable hands, will outclimb a conventional sailplane in a thermal! Planks have a low wing loading, can turn tightly, and some, like the Raven, will automatically center themselves in a thermal, hands off!

The stable reflexed section brings with it two unique problems: (1) It's quite disconcerting to try to dethermalize a plank by diving. The wing has a positive camber with the elevator down and so its lift increases. As the 'wing gains speed the increased lift can actually offset the down elevator being applied. We've often found ourselves in nearly level relatively high speed flight with moderate down elevator! Ken Bates recommends diving inverted when dethermalizing his "Windlord". (Full size plans are available through *Model Aviation*.) (2) Thermaling with full up trim sets the turn and lowers flight speed. But this increases the effective reflex and applies a big down load to the wing — just the opposite of what you want in a thermal turn when attempting to make the best use of available lift.

Some flyers of both full size and model size planks, rather than relying on elevator trim which is always drag producing, have experimented with a sliding weight device that adjusts trim for high speed and thermaling flight modes. The trim in our Raven's is noticeably changed with the addition or removal of a 1/4" cube of lead, and so it doesn't take much weight shifting to change trim significantly. The system works well but entails an added mechanism.

Always make sure that the elevator servos <u>pull for up</u>. The elevator, being a part of the reflex of the airfoil, tends to have a consistent down load on it. When speeds are high you want to be able to have reliable up elevator, and having the servo pull rather than push for that function eliminates the possibility of pushrod buckle.

Several modifications can be made to the basic plank design we described at the start. First, the workable CG range can be extended by increasing the wing chord and sweeping the leading edge back. This is the form of Dave Jones' "Blackbird 2M", spoken of so often in this column. A second modification of the basic plank involves sweeping the trailing edge forward while maintaining a straight leading edge. The resulting planform is good for maintaining effective aileron control and nearly eliminates any pitch changes brought about by aileron differential. Jim Marske's full size Pioneer II is an excellent example of this

planform.

Contrary to popular opinion, flaps <u>can</u> be used on planks. While tows are straight and steep without them, the climb rate is improved. Also, they are effective landing aids. Their area should be no more than 5% of the wing. Install them on the bottom wing surface at 40% local chord; they won't affect pitch much when located there. Deflections of 40° are effective.

A final comment

You must adhere to the FAI minimum wing loading of 3.96 oz./ft² when competing in AMA events, and it's very easy to build planks well below that minimum.



PILOTS — you have been reading about TIMERS here in CASA COMMENTS and in other leading sailplane journals, too. While gleaning through these articles once again, a few important thoughts ran through my mind while putting together a few other thoughts to write about and lo and behold, both thoughts go hand-in-hand.

. . .

We all are or should be aware of how important a good timer can be during a contest and the roll they can play in one's success or failure. I personally know

Timers Revisited - Again!

...by Bob Biss

from The Storm King Flyer Newsletter

that a guy named Bill has told me on several occasions that he is a jinx when he times. I'm beginning to believe him, but I am the one that selected him. Hold that thought.

One of the pilot's responsibilities during the contest is to be prepared to compete when it is your turn. Knowing who your TRAFFIC is, is very important during a competition. When called to fly, you should have already picked out a timer, moved your sailplane to the ready area, developed an idea as to where or how you are going to compete this round, and briefed your timer on all of this and anything special that YOU might require from a timer. Try to remove any possibility of confusion before your flight is underway. Hold that thought, too.

When your sailplane touches a ground based object or the ground itself, it is the TIMER'S responsibility to stop the clock; and when the sailplane comes to rest in the landing circle, it is the TIMER'S responsibility to measure the landing — not the pilot's. The TIMER determines the degree of landing points and the time that is turned in to the scorekeeper. The TIMER has the FINAL word on the scores. Hold that thought, too.

Putting it Together

Your TIMER is responsible for the accuracy of your final score as determined by his stopwatch and landing tape measurement. It is YOUR responsibility to know what is going on at all times and be ready to fly when called to the stand-by area. Waiting to be called before you start to look for a timer, check your plane, or make that JOHNNIE call only slows down the competition and cuts down on your selection of a TIMER. Waiting for your TIMER to finish timing someone in the flight group ahead of you is definitely a no-no.



Page 4 R/C Soaring Digest October 1989 October 1989 R/C Soaring Digest Page 5





Rubber Hinging Tips

Use ACE R/C's "HARLEY'S HINGES", stock #50L317. These permit a sealed butt fit with no rounding, spacing or beveling for deflection. Wash and rinse the strips. Cut straight with a sharp scissors to avoid weak spots where a tear may start. If the strips are cut into thirds, the hinges will be a practical width. Use Pacer Instant Zap and the tiny tube that comes in the cap for controlled application.

Beveled hingeline strips for flaps and ailerons have to be notched where hinges pass before assembly. Use a 3/8" wide strip of #50 or 60 sandpaper glued to a block an inch or so wide.


Make practice notches in 1/4" sq. balsa and cap over them. See that a hinge strip can be readily inserted with the fingers and not fall out. If oversize, water applied with a syringe will swell the balsa for a good fit.





...designed by Harley Michaelis

& available from ACE R/C



Practice to judge how little Zap is needed to bound about 1/4" of rubber in a slot. Insert the hinge 1/4" and wick between rubber and wood on one side. Wipe glue residue so the protruding portion is totally floppy. Pull the hinge a little to check the bond.


In flaps or ailerons, first wick all hinges in these, then insert all in the wing and bond one by one. The slots must be wide enough so the edges of the hinges do not drag.


The sketches show typical installations for ailerons or flaps where the top may be fully sheeted and the underside partially.

For flaps, there should be at least 3/4" of rubber free to stretch, so the sheeting forward should be at least 1" wide. Anchor the loose end to a 1/4" cleat on this.

Hinges can be put in the flap or aileron after it is fully covered, or as illustrated on the left, a strip of Monokote may be applied along the vertical faces and wrapped around.

Slit where the slots are and poke a heated strip of .030 aluminum in to seal the edges. Main pieces can then be sealed to the overlap after hinging is completed.






Don't fight short hinges. Cut them 1-1/2" or so. Mark each 1/4" in. Insert that far in the flap or aileron and wick in. Be sure they are at right angles so edges stretch evenly.

Regarding tension, it is important to avoid excess work for the flap servo in moving flaps up (reflex). In the butt fit the flap will be pivoting on the top edge when reflexed, stretching all hinges. If tension is used on all, the servo may balk. Therefore, bond the hinge and center hinges with a bit of tension. Check the hingeline fit and the operation. NONE may be needed in the rest.

Since open bottoms are commonly sheeted out a bay or two, for flap hinging there cut 1/16 X 3/8 openings in the sheeting and fish the loose ends through with a bent pin. Pull the loose end out, apply Zap and let it wick back so the rubber is bonded inside with a little tension. Then pull and trim the excess. Before adding sheeting trim away the foam in the area where hinges will pass or attach.

On a fully sheeted bottom, flap hinges would have to be anchored on thicker cleats glued to the underside of the top skin. The core would have to be trimmed away there before the top skin is put on, then cleats added. The bottom skin could be added after hinging. Pins passed through will establish where a straight edge can be placed for trimming precisely at the hingeline. Adhesive on hinges there must be avoided, or the hinge waxed so it is not bonded in the hingeline area.

The rubber has some UV resistance, but will last longest if shaded. REPLACEMENTS: Open the covering as needed. Apply debonder with a small syringe. Several applications will be needed. Be patient. When the glue is softened, remove the hinge. Slip a new one in one side and bond it. Work in the loose end, bond it and cover.



A couple of years ago, I saw a retriever for the first time at a contest. It was the standard variety made from a 20" bicycle rim. It seemed like a nice thing to have as it really sped things up (when it worked), but it had some obvious drawbacks.

First, it took a fairly experienced and agile person to operate it dependably and without getting rope burns on your hands. Second, after being used awhile, even with a swivel, it seemed to make a tangled mess out of the line.


...designed by Jim Harger

The fishing reel in my hand performed basically the same task as a retriever. What I needed was a larger version that could be powered by a 12V motor.

Well, being one always trying to build a better mousetrap, I gave it some thought through the winter months. The following spring, while out fishing one evening, I was struck with inspiration. The fishing reel in my hand performed basically the same task as a retriever. What I needed was a larger version that could be powered by a 12V motor.

The idea is the easy part, while making it work is something else. After another year and a half of head scratching, searching for materials, and several prototypes, I've come up with what has been called by some the SUPER ZEBCO. I believe it to be the best machine for the job that has ever been built. Not only is it lighter and smaller than the standard design, but since it feeds the line off the face of the spool and winds it back on the same way, it doesn't tangle the line. It can be operated without even touching the line which makes solo retrieves possible. I just hold the push button switch with my transmitter and run the line to the ground after release. I've even had my 7 year old son run it for me.

I've gotten to where I now use the retriever almost every time I go flying. It makes for a lot more flying and less walking, and is great for doing landing practice. It also has opened up more flying sites by letting me fly from long narrow fields where a chute would get into trouble if there was any cross wind (unless you ran it to the turn-around every time).





Anyway, the long and short of this is that I have now set up to produce and sell these things. I am offering them two ways:

As a complete unit ready to use for \$290.00 plus shipping,

or

Just the mechanics of it, for those who wish to build their own box and find their own motor, for \$150.00. Plans for the box and suggested motors are included.

Jim Harger 1911 Wolcott Dr. Columbia, MO 65202 (314) 443-6708

Pilot Error

...The Silicone Live Hinge is by Kevin Collins...

In the February issue, we inadvertently credited Harald Buettner (misspelled "Blettner") with the Silicon Live Hinge. In fact, the method should be properly credited to KEVIN COLLINS. RCSD apologizes to both for this mistake. In April, Mr. Buettner is properly credited with the camber changing

device.

Also, we apologize to B² and the readers who have set us straight.

Page 8

R/C Soaring Digest

October 1989

October 1989


R/C Soaring Digest

Page 9



Wings & Things

...by Dieter Eberbach



What I would like to explain here are a few tips for the newer glider pilot.

Having built the new glider, how does one go about flying it? To start with the center of gravity (CG) is very important. To find out if the glider is nose heavy or tail heavy, place a finger under each wing about 1/4th back from the leading edge, or under the main wing spar, or as indicated on the plan. It is better to have the glider nose heavy, as tail heavy makes for waltzing with the control box.. Normally, the glider stalls down over the tail. Also,

make sure that the rudder and elevator have enough movement. Once the batteries are charged, it's off to the field. Select a field with long grass and no rocks. Switch on the equipment and hand launch into the wind. I hand launch every glider no matter what size (1 meter to 5 meter). There is one thing for sure: if it flies from a hand launch, it will fly off the winch and bungee.

Strips & Covering

I see a lot of the newer aspiring glider pilots make the same mistake we all made as to colors. In the words of Paul Beaty: "The smoother the wings and body of the glider, THE BETTER IT FLYS."

The colors are very important. White or yellow should be on top, while black, dark blue or dark green are better on the bottom. The reason for all of this is to be able to see the glider when it is very high. All dark colors go

black as it gets high. Also, cream and light blue make it disappear. If you wish to put strips on the wings, make sure it is only across the tips. Strips along the wings are a no-no unless the kit or plan says to put a thin strip just off the leading edge. The rudder and elevator must also be kept clean. As Paul always says, "The LAMINAR flow is important." Or, in easy terms, KEEP IT CLEAN. Some will not agree, but I have tried strips and they may look pretty, but one can see the flight difference once they are removed.

How to find a thermal

At first, it is not easy, but here are a few pointers:

- A) Watch the birds as they will find a thermal long before you. Fly in below them and circle around in the same direction that they circle in.
- B) Watch the glider in flight. If it suddenly picks its nose up and you have not moved the stick, then move into a turn around the same spot. Remember, there are left and right hand thermals. So, try either way, but remember to keep the wing at about 10° to 20° and fly just before the stall. This is not easy at first.
- C) Watch for the dust buster or dust devil. These can be seen in the field coming toward you. These thermals are very violent and strong, and can throw a 5 meter 6kg glider around

like a piece of paper. So, be warned. A large amount of skill is needed to keep the glider flying and upright. An aileron glider is at its best due to it being able to pick up the stalled wing. So take care when trying out the new glider, and happy flying.

Dieter Eberbach 49 Highveld Road Kempton Park 1620 South Africa

Windy Weather

... by Pancho Morris

Flying in the wind can be very intimidating...

Just flying faster at any weight will help. Spring, in particular, and Texas in general provides us with more wind than we would really like to fly in. Around here it is said that you learn to fly in the wind or you don't fly. I have always enjoyed hearing fliers that have just moved here from other parts of the country when they arrive at the field on what we consider just an average flying day with a wind from 8-15 mph. They're always amazed that there are so many people flying in all this wind. "Back home" even the good fliers left their planes in the car on a day like this.

Flying in the wind can be very intimidating, especially to the newer fliers. Once basic flying skills are achieved, wind flying is more a matter of confidence than anything else. Once you fly in the wind with some help and support from an experienced flier, you see that it is really not all that hard.

There are several things to do to make windy flying work. Adding weight to your plane will help. You can make your plane slightly more nose heavy...but only slightly. Too much nose weight can make things worse. Adding extra weight or ballast at the CG (center of gravity) is the best place. This weight

must be very well secured. A changing CG makes for a very exciting flight. Ballast has also been defined as a means of removing bulkheads. The extra weight raises your wing loading which causes your plane to fly faster. Since your plane is now heavier, it is less easily upset by gusts.

Just flying faster at any weight will help. Most people, especially beginners with light planes, actually fly too slow in all conditions. Put in an extra click or two of down trim and let the plane speed up a little. You may be surprised at how that sluggish floater now becomes quite responsive and handles much more positively. Without sufficient airflow over the control surfaces, they can not be effective. AIRSPEED IS CONTROL. Practice flying faster on calm days. Get used to it so that it feels comfortable and doesn't scare you.

One of the places that airspeed can really get you in windy weather is the difference between apparent airspeed and real airspeed. This is most apparent when you are flying down wind. It looks like you are really moving. Yet, when you try to turn, nothing happens. This is because you really do not have much airspeed, you are just floating with the wind. You need to put the nose down and dive a little to get enough airspeed to make your controls effective.

The main mistake people make is floating around too slowly and letting themselves get blown downwind. FLY UPWIND AND STAY UPWIND. When you launch, get off the line and fly upwind as far as you can stand and stay upwind. Under no circumstances let your plane get back to where you are standing. You can fly upwind as far as you can see and the wind will try and blow the plane back closer to you. If you make a mistake, the plane will come closer to you. If you get downwind, each mistake will just blow it farther away until you are finally blown off the field.

Landing is the most difficult part of wind flying. It is also the scariest. The fear is what causes the most mistakes. People are afraid to put their plane on the ground and thus get flying too slow and loose control. You must get the plane down and on the ground quickly. Remember, AIRSPEED IS CONTROL. If you have spoilers, use them to get the plane down fast. When you get within 20 feet of the ground, get the nose ...continued on page 12

Page 10 R/C Soaring Digest October 1989 October 1989 R/C Soaring Digest Page 11



Product Review

PC-SOAR

...revisited

(version 1.3)

...by Doug Klassen

About this time last year, I recounted to you all my painful story of learning to use the desktop computer at work. At that time the saving grace of the situation was the arrival of FEATURES of PC-SOAR

- Improved on-line documentation
- Now plots and overlays airfoil polar data
- Use polars and sailplanes provided or enter own
- Multiple Reynolds Numbers on Airfoil Polars
- English/Metric input capability
- Plots sink rate and lift / drag versus flying speed
- Overlay plots to compare aircraft performance
- Calculates standard design parameters such as: areas, aspect ratios, aerodynamic centers, average chords, tail volumes, instability factors and more...

a copy of the sailplane design program "PC Soar" by LJM Associates. I had my struggles with the program and at the time freely admitted my computer illiteracy may have been a contributing factor. I feel comfortable now in saying that my assessment of the program and my computer skills was accurate. I was just as dumb as I felt and PC Soar was not the easiest program in the world to use.

Just as I improved, so has PC Soar. I received a revised edition back in January along with a nice letter from Lee Murray (LJM). Along the way, I also bought the additional library of airfoils that LJM had made available.

There is an addendum to the program documentation aimed specifically at the novice computer user. Other improvements in the program include the ability to drop out of a data input sequence without having to drop completely out of the program and somewhat clearer on-screen explanations with some of the menu choices. The ability to back out of a given program area is the best improvement of all and, really, when you get right down to it, that was probably my biggest complaint about the earlier version of PC Soar. PC Soar, as far as I can tell, doesn't really have any noticeable glitches in it, and the computation portion of the program runs smoothly and without any hang-ups. I've run it on the IBM XT, a generic AT clone, and my Northgate 386 without any real function problems.

The biggest quirk so far is that, for whatever reason, I can't do a proper printout or screen dump on my Panasonic 1124 printer at home. The trusty old IBM Pro Printer at work cranks out the graphs and such just fine, but the one at home gives me gibberish. I'm not sure it's that big a deal and I'm not sure it isn't as much the printer as the program. Program/printer interface problems are common with programs costing a whole lot more than PC Soar.

Windy ...continued


down and put the plane on the ground. Keep the nose directly into the wind or, if you have to, land HOT downwind. Do not try to land crosswind.

Don't go far downwind on your landing pattern. In fact, sometimes you will make your crosswind turn in front of you and let the plane drift back as you make your crosswind leg.

The next day the wind is up a little more than you like. Ask one of the more experienced flyers to coach and support you and try flying. Once you try it and see that it isn't so bad, you will find you have a lot more flying days.

Pancho Morris 2715 Eastbrook Dr. Mesquite, TX 75150

"PC Soar isn't perfect, but it's the best model airplane design program I've run across. It does what it is supposed to do in a relatively orderly fashion and returns good value for the dollar."



The only real inconvenience is that when you load and manipulate a glider design in the program and then go back and try to

add a second or third design, you lose all the accumulated data on the first plane. It would be nice to look at a design and then be able to go back to the sailplane library and grab different designs one after another for comparison purposes. It's quite possible to compare performance and design specs on different gliders, and you can load up to five designs at once in the working memory, but you must decide before starting down the menu tree which gliders you want to compare. If you want to compare more than five, you must compute them in batches and then sort them and recompute them for further comparisons. It's not really difficult, but it is a little time consuming.

The only other negative things I could really pick out were the screen selection and text appearance. You don't have a lot of choices in graphics and configurations to choose from. You get three: 720 X 348 Hercules with HBasic, 640 X 200 IBM HRG2 and a "Specify" option. I played with all three and found that if you picked the wrong one, boink, you get an error message and you're locked out of the graph displays until you exit and reload the program. A real pain if you just spent time selecting five designs to look at. Once you know what works with your system, it shouldn't be a problem, but it's annoying the first time, anyway. The three machines that I have run the program on are equipped with CGA, EGA, and VGA+ monitors, respectively. In each case, after sorting out which graphics display to choose from the menu, the screen display functioned without a hitch.

The screen text display is in a normal looking font until after you cycle through the graph portion of the program the first time. After the graph portion, the text switches to a large, difficult to read blocky text that seems to be common to programs written in Basic.


Do I sound too critical? It's not my intention to be. PC Soar isn't perfect, but it's the best model airplane design program I've run across. It does what it is supposed to do in a relatively orderly fashion and returns good value for the dollar. It's endlessly entertaining to crank out theoretical designs without having to spend a fortune in time and materials. At this point in my modeling career, I wouldn't scratch-build a sailplane without first running the specs through PC Soar. If you have access to a desktop computer, PC Soar can be as indispensable as CA glue. Both can save you a lot of time and frustration.

Earlier, I mentioned buying the additional airfoil and sailplane library disk that is now available. It is an excellent selection of about 60 new airfoils not found on the first program disk. Included in the update are some airfoils suitable for slopers that were absent in the original program volume. The library disk is worth the bucks, so do right and buy the whole package. And a Final Note

PC Soar is not shareware nor is it "giftware". It is a commercial effort and it's author deserves payment for each and every copy. The disk is not copy protected which means that you cannot make as many copies as you want and give them to your friends. It's a violation of copyright law to do so and, beyond that, it's a poor way to treat a fellow modeler who put a lot of time and effort into giving us an excellent tool. Write LJM Associates and buy a copy. You'll be glad you did. (LJM Associates, 1300 Bay Ridge Rd., Appleton, WI 54915)

Doug Klassen 17601 N. Kennison Lane Ldoi, CA 95240

R/C Soaring Digest October 1989 Page 12 October 1989 R/C Soaring Digest Page 13



The following are the results of extensive (over 94 test samples) testing done by **Bob**

Bayard and Reinhard Lahde and published in the South Bay Soaring Society newsletter. The complete article is 6 1/2 pages long so the following is just a summary of the results:

The testing began as a follow-on to previous testing of how to reinforce spars with carbon fiber on the tension side of the spruce spar. The results showed the carbon fiber on the compression side would buckle too easily to be effective. In these tests, the carbon fiber on the compression side was increased in thickness to prevent buckling, and more construction techniques were tried.

Wing Spar Design & Strength Analysis

...via the Portland Area Sailplane Society Newsletter (PASS)

Table 1. Spar Cap / Shear Web Dimensions & Failure Values

	Spar Cup / All				
Sample	Top cap*	Bottom cap*	Shear web*	Weight oz/ft	Force to break
Sp Balsa	Spruce Wide .400 Thick .125	Spruce Wide .345 Thick .125	Balsa Wide .125	.328	26 lb.
CF Sp	Spruce-CF Wide .250 Thick SP .059 CF .037	Spruce-CF Wide .250 Thick Sp .059 CF .014	Spruce Wide .125	.328	70 lb
Sp Balsa Sp	Same as #2	Same as #2	Balsa Wide .250	.266	58 lb
CF Sp	CF Wide .203 Thick .062	CF Wide .203 Thick .021	Spruce Wide .125	.328	69 lb
CF Balsa	CF Wide .250 Thick .080	CF Wide .250 Thick .031	Balsa Wide .250	.328	80 lb

Highlights of Spar Research

- 1/16 carbon would not buckle.
- Carbon was 4.5 times stronger than spruce.
- Carbon was glued between top spar and shear web.
- Spar caps were stronger under tension than compression.
- Compresion spars should be heavier (thicker) than tension spars.
- Vertical grain (balsa) shear webs were 25% stronger than horizontal grain webs.
- Slow gap-filling cyanoacrylate was used in constructing the test spars.
- The shear web is a vital element of the spar.

Spar Summary

"The very best design, considering overall strength for a given weight and ease of construction, seems to be a full width balsa shear web supporting pure carbon fiber laminates, with three times as much carbon fiber on the compression cap as on the tension cap. A similar spar but with a thinner horizontal grain spruce shear web is equally good. Mixed spruce and carbon fiber caps are pretty good, and binding with thread doesn't add much, if any, strength to an already good design. Using carbon fiber you can make a wing weighing the same as one with a conventional spruce cap, balsa shear web spar, but about three times as strong."

"In our study, we have tried to find the best combination of carbon fiber, spruce and balsa for making light, strong wings. This task, however, is a difficult and complex one and clearly we haven't exhausted the subject. There are many things unexplored; for example: the effect of sheathing on a built-up wing, a more thorough-going study of the shear web question, and the whole question of how to best use carbon fiber in foam core wings. However, the results reported here will permit modelers to drastically reduce weight and/or increase strength of model wings."

"The drill rod was sold as being water hardened, but it clearly wasn't hardened. A hacksaw cut it easily."

Carbon VS Steel Wing Rods

...by Bob Bayard

via the Silent Flyer (SBSS)

This is unplanned follow-up to the work on wing spar strength that Reinhard Lahde and I reported recently in the Silent Flyer. It began at our March contest when I noticed a fellow hammering a wing rod out of his fuselage. He said it was the second one that had bent. What was the material? Drill rod, from Orchard Supply. I decided to investigate that material as well as carbon fiber and the more commonly used music wire wing rods. Having done that, I began wondering if usual wing rod arrangements could take the kind of forces Reinhard and I showed could be taken by some carbon fiber spars, so I looked into that, too.

Wing Rod Strengths

To measure comparative strength of wing rod material, I used the same apparatus Reinhard and I had used in the wing spar study. This test set-up gives force readings which are equal to the tow line forces which would exist on a two-meter model with typical tapered planform. The test rods were 1/4" dia. and were supported by 1/4" ID by 4" long pieces of brass tubing epoxied into pieces of wood. To determine relative strength I would put a rod in the set-up and put a force of say 20 lbs. on the "tow


...continued on page 16



So, carbon fiber is not stronger than steel on an equal basis.

Carbon VS Steel Wing Rods. ..continued

line", remove it and see if a 4" piece of the brass tubing would still slip over its length. The different measurements showed that a rod bent less than about a quarter of a degree (1/64" in about 4" length) would let the tubing slide past the bend, but that a bend of half of a degree was too much. Each time the rod was put in the apparatus, the force was increased by 5 lbs. until it wouldn't let the tubing slip over it anymore. I counted the strength of a sample as the maximum



"towline" force that didn't bend the rod beyond the point where the tubing would slip over it afterward.


The drill rod was sold as being water hardened, but it clearly wasn't hardened. A hacksaw cut it easily. Its strength was only 25 lbs. No wonder the fellow at the contest found them bent after launching.

I took another piece of drill rod and hardened it by heating it cherry red and quenching it in water without annealing it. At a "towline" force of 50 lbs. it broke, a very brittle fracture. Another piece I hardened and then annealed. I may have annealed it at a bit too high a temperature — its color is supposed to be gun-metal blue, but it went a trace past that. It bent rather than breaking, but its strength was exactly the same as the fully hardened piece, namely 50 lb.

A piece of music wire was also tested. This material can't be hacksawed, at least with only one blade. Its strength was the best of the steel pieces, 75 lbs.

I also tested a solid carbon fiber rod obtained from Aerospace Composite Products (Box 16621, Irvine, CA 92714). I had heard that carbon fiber was more than twice as strong as a similar sized piece of steel. If true, this would tax the test apparatus. However, it isn't true. My first sample went to 40 lbs. without incident but at 45 lbs. it failed. The failure was in compression of the fibers at the top of the rod, the place of maximum compression stress. To see if it was a fluke, I broke two more samples. They failed at about the same force, one failing just like the first one, and the other by breaking in half.

So, carbon fiber is not stronger than steel on an equal size basis. How about an equal weight basis? My crude measurements showed steel to be nearly five times as heavy per volume as carbon fiber. So a reasonable comparison between carbon fiber and steel might be a carbon fiber rod 1/2" in diameter vs. a 1/4" steel rod. (The 1/2" carbon fiber rod would be four times as heavy as the 1/4" one and it would have twice as much brass tubing as the 1/4" rod, so the weight comparison between 1/2" carbon fiber and 1/4" steel should be very close.) Assuming the theoretical ratio of rod strengths as a function of different size rods, namely the cube of the diameter, this carbon fiber rod should be eight times as strong as a 1/4" diameter carbon fiber rod, or about 360 pounds. This is clearly overkill, as the rest of



the system — the tow line, the brass tubing, the balsa/foam, etc. — would probably fail in all sorts of ways before reaching that force. A more reasonable comparison might be a 3/8" diameter carbon fiber rod against 1/4" steel rod. Again using the cube relationship between diameter and strength, this carbon fiber rod should tolerate a tow line pull of about 150 pounds. This is twice as strong as the 1/4" steel rod and yet the 3/8" carbon fiber rod would weigh less than half as much as the 1/4" steel rod. The difference in weight for a typical wing rod set-up would be about one ounce. Of course, the carbon fiber would cost a lot more — \$11 for a one-foot length versus \$2 for a three-foot length of music wire.

Wing Rod Box Strength

To see if a typical rod construction would tolerate the kind of forces Reinhard and I were able to develop in carbon fiber spars, I took our best sample spar and built a wing rod box at one end. The sample was 5/8" high and had a 1/4" thick vertical grain balsa shear web, a .031" thick carbon fiber lower spar cap and an .080" thick upper spar cap, both caps being 1/4" wide.

The wing rod box was very simple (see sketch). The balsa shear web stopped 4" from the end of the carbon fiber caps. A piece of 1/32" plywood was glued to each side of the spar over those last four inches and continued over the sides of the balsa shear web for an additional inch. A 4" length of the brass tubing was inserted between the two pieces of plywood and the empty spaces around it filled with epoxy.

With a music wire rod carrying the load, this sample took 70 lbs. without incident. At about 80 lbs. it gave way. The failure was the same sort (and at the same force on the "towline") that Reinhard and I had found for the equivalent carbon fiber spar, namely, shear web failure and upper spar cap buckling. Although the music wire rod had bent to nearly a four degree angle, the wing rod box assembly was completely unharmed!!

SUMMARY

The best steel rod is music wire. If you are a competent metallurgist and could properly temper a piece of drill rod it might come up equal to music wire, but why bother?

A carbon fiber rod having the same diameter as a music wire rod is only about half as strong. A carbon fiber rod with twice the diameter would weigh about the same as the steel rod but it would be outlandishly strong. A more reasonable comparison would be a carbon fiber rod that is half again as big in diameter as the music wire rod. This carbon fiber rod would weigh less than half as much as the steel (saving an ounce in a typical design) and yet be twice as strong.

A simple wing rod box with 1/32" plywood sides extending 1" beyond the 4" long box space and the box space filled with epoxy is more than adequate to take all that a very strong carbon fiber spar can tolerate.

"Although the music wire rod had bent to nearly a four degree angle, the wing rod box assembly was completely unharmed!!"



Manufacturer of High Performance Sailplanes and Electric Aircraft



2526 Washington, Dubuque, IA 52001 319/583-4830



Using Turbulators

...by Ray Reiffer

I recently flew in a strong but strange thermal in the company of several swallows, and I had a rough time of it. The ship was thrown about and acted weird. A rain front was coming and smoke lay on the ground... Earlier, a brief note was written on my success with a turbulator on my SAGITTA. The success has been a flash in the pan as it has turned out. Many years ago I used turbulators on towline gliders with super results. Thus, sooner or later it would be natural to apply this method to R/C sailplanes, or so it would seem. The tremendous range of operating conditions has caused a problem in application for me.

I made the comment, "Don't they know?" Well, I believe, "No they don't." It seems the subject is in the black arts category. It also seems, from what I've done so far, that the turbulator placement is only good at one spot per the flying conditions.

I've increased the range of my SAGITTA considerably via hand toss, but it turns out very tricky in actual thermalling conditions. I'll come back to this in a minute. First, to describe the parameters of my experiments on the SAGITTA 900, with stock E-205 foil.

The tape I used on the wings is the kind executives use to show graphs on display boards, and can be purchased from office supply houses. It is .007" thick and about .060" wide. I used two layers. Per Frank Zaic's formula, I placed the tape at 11/4" from the leading edge. This setting did not produce any results of merit, so I moved it back 1/8". This was better. So, again I moved it back 1/8". Now, at 1 1/2" things are definitely working to great advantage and the gliding distances are much better. At this point, I had a helper time the flights with a stop watch and, though this is not all that accurate, of course, within reason it is O.K. Time being 8 seconds at 180 ft. measured, thus equalling 22 ft. per second. I think this is why Zaic's formula didn't work out: I flew it too slow. As a result of the hand launching method, I was aware of the problem. I figured that in a thermalling turn it would be flying slower and thus, maybe, I'd accomplish something.

My wings are sheeted with 1/16" balsa and fiberglassed with 3/4 oz. cloth and one coat of polyester resin applied heavy. The excess is removed via T.P. after the cloth was brushed into place. The trailing edges were pre-sanded sharp and, after glassing, they were slightly rounded to prevent chipping. Thus, they are now approximately .015 - .020" thick. Wing loading is 9.4 oz. per square foot. Actual final flight tests were via winch launch. I had trouble right off in the first thermal, which required tight turning. The craft acted as if it were tail heavy, galloping around the circle. Upon removing the tape, all was well in the turns, again.

To conclude this aspect of the experiment, I think the turbulator failed in the turns because of the many things we know happens in a turn. To keep this simple and direct, I will name just a few: (1) Increased angle of attack in the thermalling turn, (2) Higher loadings, and (3) Side slipping air currents. Thus, we have a different animal than was test glided by hand. The turbulator apparently caused the center of pressure to move during the increased angle of attack while in the turn, thus affecting a forward movement center of pressure at this altitude, or a major separation was occurring in a cycle of several seconds.

Now, back to Zaic. The information I used to start all of this was for 200,000 Reynolds, while my test glides were only at 105,000. Thus, we cannot expect things to match up; yet the test glides were beautiful. When the turbulator was moved back to 1 5/8" or more (during hand launches), all was lost again. Thus, at 105,000, the turbulator should be at 1 1/2" or 15% of chord.

What next?

I'm going to time a few "fly-bys" to get a better idea of the ft./min. airspeed. There, I can ballast up for more hand launches and, thus, glides at the proper speed. Hopefully, an optimized location for the trip strip can be found and that it will also function under the task of the thermal turn, which at this time I think indicates a more rearward location because

of the speed. I'll start at 15% and work back for the next flight tests.

I'm afraid that two locations (or more) may be required to operate a turbulator under soaring conditions, at least for the E-205 (or my 205). Perhaps my wing, alone, suffers from this problem during thermalling, or at least the condition shows itself in very tight turning as done low in small thermals. I'm afraid more testing in a thermal is required to find a workable location. All authors I've read on the subject agree that triangular shapes work better than a single trip strip, yet it would be very time consuming to try this approach.

I recently flew in a strong but strange thermal in the company of several swallows, and I had a rough time of it. The ship was thrown about and acted weird. A rain front was coming and smoke lay on the ground, and yet I could find these isolated thermals. The whole thing reminded me of thermalling with the turbulator in place. I wonder if the conditions are not as important as any other factor?

+ + +

Comments: Yes, Ray, you're right...the whole business of turbulation is tricky. Sometimes it works, as you pointed out, and sometimes it doesn't. In my response to your last letter, I mentioned something about terraces placed from about the spar rearward, and I think I may have incorrectly called them turbulators. They are NOT turbulators...they are INVIGORATORS. Apparently, turbulation refers to something done to the airfoil from its leading edge (or slightly ahead of it) back to about the point of minimum pressure...but aft of that, they term it invigoration. While it's true that not everyone uses turbulation, I think it is correct to say that almost everyone knows about it — and the reason they don't use it very often on R/C sailplanes is the very reason you have found out: it doesn't always work.

Let's talk about the Eppler 205 airfoil for a minute. It is well known that the E205 must be kept flying fast to perform well; that is, at a high L/D...and it has been common experience that at low speeds (low R.N.) it "falls out of the sky". Not exactly true, but at the very least the drag rises appreciably and the L/D deteriorates badly. When you thermal your E205-equipped sailplane, it must be flown faster in the thermal than you'd normally expect because of the decrease in L/D and the tendency to behave erratically. I suspect a couple of things in the behavior you mention: You may be trying to force the ship to turn tightly and it wants to fall off because of incipient stall (solution: fly faster) or the horizontal tail may be stalling (solution: use the NACA 0009 or the NACA 63009 airfoil for the stab).

Something I've wanted to try for a long time on a sailplane is a leading edge device to increase lift at high angles of attack. The Handley-Page automatic slot is a good example...it "pops" out when needed. Did you know that both the low-speed Tiger Moth and the Messerschmitt Me-109 fighter use these? In sharp, high-angle of attack maneuvers they pop out and keep the tips flying. Not only a safety factor in the trainer, but a very useful ability in a fighter.

Servo-operated leading edge slats or slots might work very well, but I'd put them only in front of the ailerons from the tips inboard no farther than about a quarter span. I tried it full-span once, and the sudden application caused an abrupt lift that caused the spar to fold! Be careful, but give it a try...gently! JHG

October 1989


Ray Reiffer 9060 80th Ave. Zeeland, MI 49464

THE GRAY AREA



Dear Jim:

In reading August Model Aviation, I see that the RC Soaring contest board voted to require a means to disconnect power to prevent runaways. Well, let me relate a story. Two weeks ago I was out testing my AN-TARES and my wife was winching down the parachute after I had released. I have a super wife who does things like this willingly. I have instructed her in how to run the winch and, also, how to take off a battery cable if there is a runaway. I have never had a runaway but, as you might expect, it did happen. The line was slack after she stopped pressing the pedal and, without any warning, the winch started again and there was loose line whipping all around us. Fortunately, she didn't have the presence of mind to pull a battery cable, which I had always thought was an adequate safety precaution, because I am sure she would have gotten her hand wound up in the whipping line...my winch is attached to my battery with only a 3/4 in. piece of plywood between. I now recognize the importance of having an easy sure way to stop the winch. Fortunately, my only injury was to my temper during the hours of untangling about 700 feet of nylon line. The next day I started looking for a manually operated switch capable of carrying the starter current. The old fashioned knife switches are no more, but I did turn up such a switch which is used in motor homes and connects directly to a battery terminal. I have already sent for one which will have a hole drilled in the end and a string tied to it so the winch can be stopped without getting near. I am enclosing an ad from a catalog for your info. Motor home supply places can order these or may have them on hand.



I have entered 4 meets this year with my METRIC and placed fourth 4 times. Keep missing the spot, but it had a nice 45 minute flight yesterday, and I am practicing my spot landings. I am finally learning to fly the ANTARES and am looking forward to my next contest.

Sailplanes always fly dead stick, (signed) Walt Rady, P.O. Box 282, Newport, NH 03773

Coming Event Torrey Pines Scale Fun Fly November 24-26, 1989 Thanksgiving Weekend

Charlie Morey has volunteered to do the public relations for the Torrey Pines Gulls' Scale Fun Fly at one of America's bestknown slopes. As an added attraction, he's arranging and scheduling demonstrations during the meet where scale glider manufacturers can show off their products, do a little talking and answer questions. The demos will be entertaining for both pilots and spectators, informative for interested kit buyers, and help the struggling, garageshop industry make a few sales. If you wish to be a pilot or spectator, please send your name, address and phone number to Charlie Morey, c/o Slope Soaring News, 2601 E. 19th Street, #29, Signal Hill, CA 90804. If you have any questions or comments, Charlie can be reached at (213) 494-3712.

October 1989

B² Streamlines

Dear Jim,

We were approached by a gentleman at the Richland Scale Slope Soaring Fun Fly who was quite interested in our plans service. He had taken his originals to a blueprint shop and spent over \$300 to obtain 20 copies in blueprint form. While he was able to sell most of the prints to friends and others interested in his design, he still had several sets left. Additionally, he had made some recent changes in the design which benefitted performance, but they were not included in the blueprints he still had on hand, and he was not too enthralled with the idea of having 20 more sets run off.

He told us that he was relieved to know that B2 Streamlines would accept his plans and print them as orders came in. He felt that (1) his monetary risks would be reduced to nearly nil as all of the printing and advertising costs would be born by us, (2) his changes could be easily incorporated in future prints as there would be no pile of blueprints needing to be sold first, (3) since the blueprints would not be printed in bulk, they would be of higher quality than he could manage, (4) prints would always be mailed fresh off the machine and critiqued for print quality before being placed in the envelope, and (5) since he did not have to fold, package and mail the blueprints himself, the royalties he would be paid were more than sufficient.

It was this gentleman's feeling, and weagree, that publishing your plans can be more of a hasslethan it's worth; we feel, and heagreed, that B² Streamlines can hold those risks to a minimum and provide fair compensation. The actual compensation is \$.10 per square foot. An airplane that fits on a single 24" X 36" sheet would indeed be quite small. It is not unrealistic to suppose that a scale sailplane might take six sheets of this size, and compensation would be \$3.60 per set sold. As the contributor has no advertising, paper, reproduction, envelope, or postage costs, that \$3.60 is pure profit for him/her. We believe that this is more than would be

realized if the person published the blueprints themselves.

The postage reimbursement, we admit, may be a minor financial compensation for those designers residing here in the U.S. However, we had anticipated that a number of foreign submissions would be made, and this has turned out to be the case. As we want all contributors to be compensated equally, and postage costs for the shipment of large packages from Europe can be quite an expensive undertaking, we feel that we are being fair in this regard.

We have received Ozalid prints on mylar, ready for reproduction, of Ferdi Gale's "Laros" design! He had originally sent a set of blueprints to us, for our approval. The prints are beautiful and so is Ferdi! The "Laros" was originally a free flight design, and the stick and tissue construction of the 1942 original has been retained in this new version. Controls are rudder and elevator. The span is 2.14 meters (84.25 inches), the wings and the other surfaces are elliptical, and the whole sailplane is very streamlined. A set of plans on two 28" X 54" sheets costs \$17.00. Handling and shipping costs are included in this price. Readers wishing to order a set of these plans need only send a check out to B2 Streamlines in the amount of \$17.00 and plans will be sent immediately upon receipt. Dr. Gale' had advertised the "Laros" in RCSD at \$20.00, so you can see what overseas postage does to the cost!

(signed) B², P.O. Box 976, Olalla, WA 94553-0976

Response: Readers, where else can you receive this kind of service? And, without the tedious bookkeeping that most assuredly goes with it...JHG

Dear Jim, * * *

Giday there mate and greetings from down South....In your letter of July 31, you asked about the plan of a RHONBUSSARD for a friend of yours, Greg Harding. Well, the only good 1.5 scale ...continued on page 22

The Gray Area continued

plan of the RHONBUSSARD is that which comes from Mike Smart of 85 Quainton Road, Waddesdon, Aylesbury, Bucks, HP18, OLP, England. The cost of same is now £4.95p plus 50% costs again for airmail postage, etc. Just last year Mike Smart released a new GRP fuselage for the 1.5 scale RHONBUS-SARD and updated the plans on same at the same time, etc. Also, I believe that Jerry Slates of Viking Models has copies of the original RHONBUSSARD plans from Mike Smart along with the original mould for the GRP fuse for same from Viking Models UK. So, you might be able to get a copy of the plan from Jerry Slates. Also, Mike Smart informed me last year that he was updating his DFS Reiher V2 plans and making a new GRP mould which may be followed by the RHONSPERBER....I am considering the possibility of building one of these new DFS REIHER kits that your friend, Greg Harding of Triton Models, in Alaska is producing and advertising, now. And, say doing a stage-by-stage sequence of the building and a good kit review of same for the publication if, say, he was interested in this. What do you think of this mate?

I have received a copy of Slope Soaring News, which advertises in RCSD, and found it most interesting, indeed. Some good scale models were featured in the issue that was sent to me. Well, that's it for now, Jim. Will be in contact, again, in the near future. Good soaring, too. Kindest regards, (signed) Frank Smith, 1/57 Highstreet Road, Ashwood, Victoria, Australia, 3147 Dear Jim,

I would like to thank, through your column, Mark Foster for his 3 sailplane videos. On the east coast of OZ, we have had endless weekends of rain, so no flying has been possible. So, to be able to sit back and enjoy the tapes and watch the rain was really good. I hope there are many more to come. Kind Regards, (signed) Trevor Breadbent, 508 Bonnerong Rd., Matraville, NSW, Australia, 2036

Dear Jim,

I just came back from a very nice week of vacation at Wallowa Lake. It is a very family oriented area with swimming, fishing, horseback riding, hiking, and many more things to do. But one very exciting aspect of the trip was jumping on the gondola and riding up over 4000 ft. with my model glider coming along, for some absolutely fantastic alpine soaring. The launch site is at 8250 ft. and the landings are in alpine meadow grass. If some of your readers are looking for a family vacation that also combines soaring, I doubt seriously if they could find a more beautiful spot. They will find this little undiscovered area in the N.E. corner of Oregon just a mile south of Joseph, where Chief Joseph once called home. If my recommendation means anything, I highly recommend it and the soaring.

We will host the Scale Fun Fly in 1990 again, but not again until 1992 as we feel it would better be served by an every two year event. However, you are the first to know that in 1991 we plan on hosting a truly international slope race, which will be supported by the South Bay Soaring Society and will possibly be for money prizes if we can locate a sponsor.

Sincerely, (signed) Wil Byer, 632 Meadows Drive E., Richland, WA 99352

COMPOSITE MATERIALS


CARBON FIBER LAMINATES
CARBON & KEVLAR MATS
KEVLAR/GRAPHITE FABRICS
.58 OZ/SQ YD. GLASS CLOTH
CARBON & KEVLAR TAPES
CARBON FIBER RIBBON
GLASS/FOAM PANELS
GLASS/END GRAIN BALSA PANELS
BRAIDED CARBON CORD
CARBON FIBER FLEX PLATES
CARBON RODS

SAFE-T-POXY Epoxy Laminating Resin

Send SASE for Complete Listing of products to

Aerospace Composite Products

P.O. Box 16621, Irvine, CA 92714 (714) 250-1107




SAILPLANE DESIGN 3.0

A COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

***** NOW WITH ALL THE PRINCETON DATA *****

Want to know why your bird does what it does? Want to design a better one? SAILPLANE DESIGN shows you exactly how well your sailplane performs and what you can do to improve it. With SAILPLANE DESIGN you can plot the performance of any configuration you can dream up.



- * L/D and Sink Speed graphs
- * Complete printouts of sailplane performance
- * Comes with over 100 airfoils including all the Princeton Data
- * Input new airfoil data anytime
- * Change sailplane configuration at will (weight, area, span, etc.)
- * Easy to use 49 page user's manual
- * "Bullet proof." Make a misteak? No problem press (Esc) and keep on going.

SAILPLANE DESIGN drives your printer, a graphics plotter and the screen. Print all the data in addition to plots.

For the IBM PC, XT, AT, PS/2 or compatible clone. \$35 (USA, Canada), US\$ 37 elsewhere. PA residents add 6% tax. Check or postal M.O. only. SPECIFY 5 1/4 OR 3 1/2 INCH DISK.

David B. Fraser 1335 Slayton Drive Maple Glen, PA 19002 U.S.A.



A Complete Kit for 3 or 4 channel R/C

- *FIBERGLASS FUSELAGE
- *BUILT-UP WING
- *COMPLETE HARDWARE
- *INSTRUCTION BOOK

send \$190 plus \$12 S&H to TRITON MODELS P.O. BOX 1157 KOTZEBUE, AK.

COD ORDERS call 907-283-7716 weekdays 9-7 PST

99752

Dorque Products Presents

"The winners came in over the landing tape end at 10 or 12 feet, raised the ailerons, dropped the flaps, and dove straight at the 100 point mark. The impact sounded like a Little League batter clobbering a homer with an aluminum bat."

Pete Carr on the "Masters of Soaring Championships", Sailplane, March - April, 1989

. . .

HEY, SAILPLANER!!
TIRED OF WIMPY LANDINGS?
ASSERT YOURSELF WITH OUR NEW
"BLOCKBUSTER" FUSELAGE.



ONLY A FEW OF THESE HAND LAID UP FUSELAGES WILL BE AVAILABLE THIS SEASON, SO ORDER NOW. REMEMBER OUR SLOGAN: "IF YOU CAN'T HEAR IT LAND, IT ISN'T A DORQUE."

Coming soon: GRENADE, a fuselage for hand launch.

Coming Event

Seventh Annual M.A.R.C.S. National Sailplane Symposium, November 4-5, Madison, Wisconsin

For further information, contact: William Vogelsang, Chairman, 5933 Mayhill Drive, Madison WI 53711, tel. (608) 271-1417 (home) or (608) 262-3374 (ofc.).

GLIDER RETRACTS

Precision units made in USA from aircraft quality materials. Two 1/4 scale size units to choose from: Std. - up to 10 lbs., H.D. - over 10 lbs. \$50.00 each + \$3.50 S&H. CA res. add 7% tax. Send Sase for information on glass 1/4 scale DG 202 fuselage. 1/3 & 1/5 scale retracts available soon.

Scale Glider Components

7034 FERN PLACE CARLSBAD, CA 92009

(619) 931-1438



A Picture Is Worth A Thousand Words! EASY AS 1-2-3

Instructional Videotapes On:

"HOW TO VACUUM BAG FIBERGLASS WINGS AND WHERE TO BUY MATERIALS" AND "MAKING FIBERGLASS MOLDS" — These videotapes give the A.B.C.'s of making fiberglass molds and wings, what equipment and material you will need. These tapes allow you to manufacture most any fiberglass part with this technique.

"CUTTING FOAM CORES AND MAKING TEMPLATES" — Covers step-by-step technique on foam wings. The plans to a Automatic Hands Off Foam cutter that will give you Sharp Trailing Edges will be given with this videotape as a Bonus.

"DRAWING PLANS & PHOTO'S FOR A BATTERY POWERED BUBBLE BLOW-ER" — This Bubble Blower puts out a million bubbles. Its application is to study thermals (great for Hand Launch Thermal flying). (713) 443-1253 days

PRICING (713) 540-3944 eves HOW TO VACUUM BAG34.95

+ 3.50 Shipping
BATTERY BUBBLE BLOWER

Available in VHS & BETA (Texas residents add 8% sales tax)

Pricing Subject To Change Without Notice.

Slope Soaring News!

High-tech aerobatic designs, slope pylon racers, combat, power scale, scale sailplanes, composite building techniques.

\$15.95/one year/12 issues

Slope Soaring News 2601 E 19th St., #29 Signal Hill, CA 90804

Check or M.O. only, please

"R/C REPORT" Magazine P.O. Box 1706 Huntsville, AL 35807

Monthly tabloid with R/C Sport Flier emphasis. Humor, how-to's, product test reports, prize drawings, free classified ads, more product test reports, limited advertising, reader letters, crash photos, and more. Full of fun and facts.


Subscriptions: \$10.00/\$18.00 for 12/24 issues.

Sample copy \$1.50 postpaid.

MARIAH =



HIGH PERFORMANCE TWO METER



SPAN: 78.5" WGT.36 OZ. LENGTH: 45.6" AREA: 590 SQ. IN. AIRFOIL: S4061 CONTROLS: RUD., ELEV.,

AIL., FLAPS

COMPETITION PRODUCTS
921 BIRDIE WAY
APOLLO BEACH, FL 33570
813 645 5171

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SEND LEASE

FOR ONLY \$3 YOU CAN GET

YOUR AMA NUMBER in computer-cut 2 mil. vinyl, 2" high. Includes:

your choice of 13 colors • forward or backward slant
 four typestyles • custom lengths
 Each character is pre-pasted on one application strip.
 NOT A DECAL

We can cut any text you want...We ship by first class mail. Call (818) 363-7131 or write for free information package.

VINYLWRITE CUSTOM LETTERING 16043 Tulsa Street • Granada Hill, CA 91344

*plus P&H and tax where applicable

GLIDER WINGS: Standard or Custom Tailored Foam Cores


Obechi Available in large sheets. Customized cores available upon request. Please call 415-462-0672 for quote.

Now Available...
The Buzzard
A Generic Glider Series

Send SASE to:

Precision Foam Cores 850 Concord Street Pleasanton, California 94566





& S MANUFACTURING

9577 - L OSUNA ROAD NE

ALBUOUEROUE, NM 87111

A DIVISION OF CALMARK, INC.

Because you deserve the best We Present

505-293-1217

COMING SOON. . . . "WESTWIND" & "BABY LADY"



100" \$100.50 \$112.50

Deluxe Kits with Glass Fuselage and Foam Core Wing

THERMAL		
Paraphase HLG	\$29.95	
Gemini MTS	\$73.95	
Paragon	\$63.95	
Ariel HLG	\$29.95	
Prophet 2m	\$47.99	
Prophet 941	\$79.96	
Klingberg Wing	\$45.95	
SLOPE		
Cheetah	\$42.95	
Super Cheetah	\$49.95	
Slope Master	\$44.95	
Combat Models F-16	\$54.95	
Plus 3.95 S&H CA Res add 6.5% Tax	A CHI	
• THERMAL • SLOPE • SCALE		
THEITHAL SOLUTE STALE	ELECTRIC .	

AMERICAN SAILPLANE DESIGNS 2626 CORONADO AV, NO. 89 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92154

(619) 575-5133

AIRCRAFT SCALE DOCUMENTATION WORLDS LARGEST COLLECTION

Antiques, Military, Civilian, Helicopters, Sailplanes

color FOTO-PAAKS 50,000 picures in stock

KOKU-FAN 3-views 3,000 drawings in stock

40-page CATALOG \$3.00



Scale Model Research 2334 Ticonderoga Way Costa Mesa, CA 92626 U.S.A. (714) 979-8058

THE DG - 100/200

(Wing Span: 152") SEMI-KIT: Epoxy Glass Fuselage (64"), Canopy with Tray & Plans

Viking Models USA 2026 Spring Lake Dr. Martinez, Ca. 94553 (415) 689-0766



Free catalog on request



Price: \$125.00 & \$15.00 S&H, CA, Res. add 7 % sales tax

CUSTOM BUILT WINGS

Exciting performance with greater longevity!!

- · Balsa wood sheeting · Rohacell sheeting · Obechi sheeting
- · New urethane sheeting · Specializing in the vacuum-mylar technique • From 1/3 scale to the smallest of wings

VIDEO: "How to Build an HP Cell Wing"

\$20 + \$3 shipping & handling. Brochure \$1 and SASE. Sample packet \$5.

HI PERFORMANCE SAILPLANES

17902 NE 156th Street • Woodinville, WA 98072 • (206) 487-1721